

State of Vermont Department of Financial Regulation 89 Main Street Montpelier, VT 05620-3101 For consumer assistance:

[Banking] 888-568-4547

[Insurance] 800-964- 1784

[Securities] 877-550-3907

www.dfr.vermont.gov

# October 7, 2022

Jessica A. Holmes, Ph.D., Interim Chair Green Mountain Care Board 144 State Street Montpelier, Vermont 05620

Re: Solvency Impact of "Vermont Large Group HMO 2023 Rate Filing" (SERFF# MVPH-133347862) of MVP Health Plan, Inc.

Dear Chair Holmes:

This opinion fulfills the Department of Financial Regulation's ("DFR") responsibility under 8 V.S.A. § 4062(a)(2)(B) regarding MVP Health Plan, Inc. ("MVPHP") and its recent proposed rate filing: "Vermont Large Group HMO 2023 Rate Filing".

Under 8 V.S.A. § 4062, DFR must provide to the Green Mountain Care Board ("GMCB") an analysis and opinion on the impact of the filing as proposed on the solvency of MVPHP. The solvency of MVPHP as an entity and how a filing or rate may affect that solvency are two separate questions. This opinion first analyzes and provides DFR's opinion on the solvency of MVPHP and then provides DFR's opinion regarding the impact the filing could have on the solvency of MVPHP.

As the Board is well aware, the COVID-19 pandemic has brought a great deal of uncertainty to Vermont's health insurance marketplace. Although the uncertainty surrounding COVID-19 has lessened due to development of an effective vaccine, treatment guidelines, and other factors, the long-term impact of COVID-19 is not fully known at this time and, therefore, neither is the impact on insurer solvency.

#### **Summary of Opinion**

The proposed rate filed by MVPHP would not negatively impact its solvency and the company otherwise meets Vermont's financial licensing requirements for a foreign insurer.



## **Background**

DFR considers the solvency of insurers to be the most fundamental aspect of consumer protection. Whether an insurer is solvent is more complex than simply determining whether at any given moment the insurer has more assets than liabilities. Rather, it is an intricate analysis of many factors to discern how close or far away from insolvency the insurer is, and in what direction it will move in the future.

The primary regulator for an insurance company is the insurance department for the state in which it is domiciled. Primary regulators have many tools at their disposal to monitor and ensure the solvency of their domestic companies, and other states in which that insurer does business rely heavily on the domicile state regulators to perform that function. Approximately 1,500 insurance companies, including MVPHP, have a license to do business in Vermont, but are domiciled in other states.

Although not the primary regulator, Vermont law still requires DFR to protect consumers by supervising MVPHP in a manner that assures its solvency, liquidity, stability, and efficiency. MVPHP is domiciled in New York and DFR relies heavily on the financial analysis of the New York Department of Financial Services for a solvency determination. In addition, DFR requires MVPHP to meet certain solvency-based criteria to procure and maintain a license to do business in Vermont. DFR employs various analytic measures to ensure these criteria are met.

## **MVPHP Solvency Opinion**

- DFR has been in communication with MVPHP's primary solvency regulator the New York Department of Financial Services and has not learned of any solvency concerns.
- Further, MVPHP currently meets Vermont's foreign insurer licensing requirements.
- Finally, in 2021, all of MVP Holding Company's operations in Vermont accounted for approximately 7.5 percent of its total premiums written. DFR has determined that MVPHP's Vermont operations pose less risk to its solvency than its New York business, assuming actuarial estimates produce rates that are not inadequate. Adequacy of rates and contribution to surplus are necessary for all health insurers to maintain strength of capital that keeps pace with claims trends.

#### **Impact of the Filing on Solvency**

Based on the entity-wide assessment above and contingent upon GMCB actuary's finding that the proposed rate is not inadequate, DFR's opinion is that the proposed rate will not have a negative impact on MVPHP's solvency.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 8 V.S.A. § 10.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Kevin Gaffney Commissioner of Insurance